DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL Office of the



MICHAEL E. BONGIORNO DISTRICT ATTORNEY

District Attorney

of Rockland County

1 SOUTH MAIN STREET SUITE 500

NEW CITY, NEW YORK 10956-3556 (845) 638-5001 Fax # (845) 638-5298



March 30, 2004

Marlene H Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: RM-10865/DA No. 04-700 --

Comments on the CALEA Petition for Rulemaking

Dear Secretary Dortch:

The Rockland County (NY) District Attorney's Office submits these comments on the U.S. Department of Justice's ("DOJ"), Federal Bureau of Investigation's ("FBI"), and U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration's ("DEA") Joint Petition ("Petition") filed on March 10, 2004, before the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") requesting that the FCC resolve, on an expedited basis, various critically important issues arising from the implementation of the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act ("CALEA").

It is vitally important, and consistent with Congress's intent in enacting CALEA, that the FCC initiate a rulemaking proceeding and adopt the rules proposed by the DOJ, the FBI, and DEA in the above Petition. Congress enacted CALEA in 1994 to insure that law enforcement has the ability to conduct authorized wiretaps in the future as technologies changed. Since 1994, many new communications technologies have arisen, including broadband Internet access, voice over IP telephony ("VoIP"), push-to-talk digital dispatch services, and other packet mode services. These services, currently used by millions of American citizens, pose a great challenge to state and local law enforcement in that many such providers of these communications services have failed to voluntarily adopt currently available CALEA intercept solutions. Thus, law enforcement has been thwarted in its attempts to implement a lawfully authorized surveillance intercepts. Voluntary industry compliance with CALEA does not work.

No of Copies reo'd List ABCDE

A recent investigation by this office and the Rockland County Narcotics Task Force of mid to upper level drug traffickers, we hampered by technical obstacles created by the latest developments in push to talk service technology. These issues were eventually addressed with an considerable expenditure of both money and personnel resources.

Local law enforcement does not have the financial or personnel resources to develop costly ad hoc surveillance solutions for each new communications service. Nor should they have to under the current law For all equipment, services, and facilities deployed after January 1, 1995, Congress, through CALEA, expressly passed the burden of designing and paying for such surveillance solutions onto the telecommunications carriers themselves

Given the importance of the issues discussed above, it is important that the FCC promptly act upon the Petition and commence a rulemaking proceeding adopting the DOJ's, DEA's and FBI's proposed rules.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael E. Bonglorno

District Attorney